

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-010080

10/14/2011

HONORABLE MICHAEL J. HERROD

CLERK OF THE COURT
M. Sahli
Deputy

JAIME G MATTAN

MICHAEL J DOYLE

v.

MICHAEL A DEBELL, et al.

OLIVIER A BEABEAU

SCOTT M BENNETT
GREGORY M MONACO
YVONNE YRAGUI

MINUTE ENTRY

9:15 a.m. In chambers. This is the time set for Telephonic Status Conference. All parties appear telephonically. Plaintiff is represented by counsel, Michael Doyle and Gary Doyle. Defendants Leboffe and Ziprealty, Inc. are represented by counsel, Scott Bennett. Defendants Klane and KGI National Appraisal Services are represented by counsel, Yvonne Yragui and Neal Thomas.

No court reporter is present.

Court and counsel discuss the status of the case.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-010080

10/14/2011

Counsel inform the Court that Defendants Debell having been dismissed subject to settlement will be added to the case. The three-month stay entered previously has passed. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

Counsel and/or the parties are to meet personally to discuss all of the matters set forth in Rule 16(b), Ariz. R. Civ. P. Counsel and/or the parties shall prepare and file with the Court, no later than **5:00 p.m. on November 14, 2011**, a Joint proposed Amended Scheduling Order, for discovery, motion and disclosure deadlines.

If the parties agree to the dates, they should prepare an Order **in the form attached hereto**, containing the provisions which are applicable to their case. For example, paragraph one of the Order set forth below need not be included in the parties' proposed Order if the parties intend to disclose their experts' identity and opinions at the same time they disclose their experts' areas of testimony. Similarly, if the parties agree to simultaneously disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses, they need not include in their proposed Order the language set forth in paragraph 2a. and b., below.

The proposed Order shall include specific dates (06/05/2009 rather than 45 days from close of discovery). Do not incorporate a firm trial date in the proposed Order.

If counsel are unable to agree on any of the items that are to be included in the Order, the reasons for their inability to agree shall be set forth in their proposed Order.

The Court will review the proposed Scheduling Order. If all is in order, the Court will set a status conference close to the discovery cutoff date. At the status conference, if the parties have completed discovery and are ready for trial, the Court will set firm dates for the final pretrial management conference and the trial. If the parties are not ready for trial, the matter will be placed on the Inactive Calendar for dismissal within 60 days.

If counsel feel a pretrial conference is still necessary at this stage of the litigation, they should address the reasons why in the first paragraph of the proposed Scheduling Order.

If a Joint proposed Scheduling Order is not timely submitted, the Court will place the matter back on the Inactive Calendar for dismissal.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-010080

10/14/2011

The Court will schedule a Telephonic Status Conference in the signed order. *Please note that the proposed form of order should be submitted in Word format.*

9:16 a.m. Matter concludes.

ALERT: eFiling through AZTurboCourt.gov is mandatory in civil cases for attorney-filed documents effective May 1, 2011. See Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Orders 2010-117 and 2011-010. The Court may impose sanctions against counsel to ensure compliance with this requirement after May 1, 2011.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-010080

10/14/2011

[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court has reviewed the parties' Joint Proposed Scheduling Order and adopts and/or modifies the days as follows:

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

1. The parties shall mutually and simultaneously disclose areas of expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011. [or]**
 - a. Plaintiffs shall disclose areas of expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
 - b. Defendants shall disclose areas of expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
2. The parties shall mutually and simultaneously disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011. [or]**
 - a. Plaintiffs shall disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
 - b. Defendants shall disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
3. Any and all discovery requests shall be served by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
4. The parties shall disclose all non-expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011. [or]**
 - a. Plaintiffs shall disclose areas of non-expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
 - b. Defendants shall disclose areas of non-expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-010080

10/14/2011

5. The parties shall mutually and simultaneously disclose their rebuttal expert witnesses and opinions by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
6. All discovery shall be concluded by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
7. The parties shall have exchanged up-to-date final Rule 26.1 Supplemental Disclosure Statements by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.** This Order does not replace the parties' obligation to seasonably disclose on an on-going basis under Rule 26.1 as information becomes available.
8. Settlement conference (choose one):

The parties shall participate in private mediation by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011;**

OR

The parties shall participate in a mandatory Settlement Conference. This case is referred to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution for the appointment of a judge *pro tempore* to conduct a settlement conference. Counsel and/or the parties will receive a minute entry from ADR appointing the judge *pro tempore*. Counsel and any "pro per" parties will contact the appointed judge *pro tempore* to arrange the date, time, and location for the settlement conference. The judge *pro tempore* is requested to conduct a settlement conference not later than **(at least 90 days out), 2011.** The Office of Alternative Dispute Resolution will not do the scheduling of the settlement conference so please do not contact that office. If counsel prefer to use a private mediator to conduct the Settlement Conference, a Stipulation and Order re: Alternative to ADR must be presented to the Court by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**

All counsel and their clients, or non-lawyer representatives who have full and complete authority to settle the case, shall personally appear and participate in good faith in the Settlement Conference. Sanctions may be imposed for failure to participate.

9. No expert witnesses, expert opinions, lay witnesses, or exhibits shall be used at trial other than those disclosed in a timely manner, except for good cause shown or written agreement of the parties.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-010080

10/14/2011

10. All pretrial motions, other than motions *in limine*, must be filed by **5:00 p.m. on _____, 2011.**
11. A **Telephonic Pretrial Status/Scheduling Conference** is set for _____, 2011 at _____ **a.m./p.m.** for the purpose of assigning a trial date if the case has not settled. Counsel shall have their trial calendars available. Counsel for Plaintiff shall initiate the telephonic conference by first arranging the presence of all other counsel on the conference call and by calling this division at: **(602) 372-0359** promptly at the scheduled time.
12. Should any discovery disputes arise, counsel, prior to filing discovery motions, shall meet and confer pursuant to Rule 37, Ariz.R.Civ.P.
13. The dates set forth in this Order are FIRM dates and will not be extended or modified by this Court absent good cause. Lack of preparation will not ordinarily be considered good cause.
14. This case is removed from the Inactive Calendar and all requirements of Rule 38.1, Ariz.R.Civ.P., are waived unless and until otherwise ordered by the Court.

Dated: _____

HONORABLE MICHAEL J. HERROD
JUDICIAL OFFICER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT