

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2002-021598

03/16/2004

JUDGE PENDLETON GAINES

CLERK OF THE COURT
P. Brown
Deputy

FILED: 03/18/2004

LUZ FUENZALIDA

RICHARD T TREON

v.

FIREMANS FUND INSURANCE COMPANIES,
et al.

JONATHAN A DESSAULES
STEPHEN PAUL FORREST
CRAIG F PARRISH
P O BOX 13011
PHOENIX AZ 85002
BRIAN JOSEPH PARRISH
P O BOX 13011
PHOENIX AZ 85002

STATUS CONFERENCE SET

8:42 a.m. This is the time set for pretrial conference and oral argument regarding Servpro's motion to compel. Plaintiff is represented by counsel, Daniel B. Treon who is appearing on behalf of Richard T. Treon. Defendant American Family Insurance is represented by counsel, Jonathan A. Dessauls. Defendant Servpro of Phoenix is represented by Vera A. Muñoz who is appearing on behalf of Stephen Paul Forrest.

The Court reporter is not present or requested.

A discussion is held. Plaintiffs and AFI have agreed to proceed with a formal appraisal of Plaintiffs' property claims.

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

1. The Court adopts the agreement between Servpro and Plaintiffs regarding Plaintiffs' submission of disclosure statements and medical authorizations by April 8, 2004.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2002-021598

03/16/2004

2. The Court adopts the parties' agreement regarding potential extended discovery as contained on page 3, lines 7 through 10 of the parties' Joint Comprehensive Pretrial Conference Memorandum.

3. The Court declines to enter any further scheduling orders at this time pending a status conference.

4. IT IS ORDERED setting a telephonic status conference in this matter for **June 1, 2004**, at **8:45 a.m.** Counsel for the Plaintiff is to initiate the phone conference by calling this division at (602) 506-3940. Not later than **5:00 p.m., May 25, 2004**, counsel will submit a status conference memorandum detailing the status of the appraisal, the expected completion date and any additional matters regarding scheduling and disclosure discovery, mediation and trial date.

5. It appears to the Court that the appraisal process should be concluded within ninety days of today's date. If it is not, the Court would like an explanation as to why not and how it will be promptly concluded.

6. The Court may consider entering other procedural orders regarding possible severance of the claims in this case if issues of economy and case management dictate.

7. The Court announces its availability to confer with counsel by phone to resolve any ongoing discovery or disclosure disputes.

8:56 a.m. Hearing concludes.