

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2000-009559

01/31/2003

HONORABLE CARI A. HARRISON

CLERK OF THE COURT
S. Stewart
Deputy

FILED: 02/06/2003

MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
DISTRICT

JANE E NICOLETTI-JONES

v.

IKEDA FAMILY PARTNERSHIP, et al.

ERIC M JACKSON

KATHLEEN A PATTERSON

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

11:28 a.m. This is the time set for a Pretrial Conference. Plaintiff is represented by counsel, Jane E. Nicoletti-Jones and Kathleen A. Patterson. Defendants Steven and Virginia Ikeda are present with counsel, Eric M. Jackson. Mr. Jackson is also present for Ikeda Family Partnership.

Court Reporter, Scott Coniam, is present.

Court and counsel discuss the trial date of February 10, 2003 and the Court's conflict. The case will be placed into case transfer and if not picked up by 12:00 p.m. on February 6, 2003, this Court will take the case back and reschedule the trial.

Arguments are presented to the Court and rulings are made on the following motions:

1. Plaintiff's Motion *In Limine* to preclude Testimony from Defendant's Expert Re: Broker's Statements

The motion is **granted**.

2. Plaintiff's Motion *In Limine* Re: Inadmissible Hearsay Testimony from Defendant's Expert Re: Engineer's Statements

The motion is **granted**.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2000-009559

01/31/2003

3. Plaintiff's Motion *In Limine* to preclude testimony on Issue of Owner's Opinion of Value

The motion is **granted** except Mr. Ikeda may testify as to circumstances leading up to listing property for sale.

4. Plaintiff's Motion *In Limine* to preclude Appraisal Report by Jay G. Hulet

The motion is **granted**.

5. Plaintiff's Motion *In Limine* Re: Lack of Disclosure of Trial Testimony of Steven Ikeda for Defendant Ikeda Family Partnership

The motion is **denied**. There appears to have been appropriate disclosure, subject to objection at trial.

The Court will permit Plaintiffs to state who the partners of Ikeda Family Partnership are during trial, including that Mr. Ikeda's father was a partner and that he is not here because he is deceased.

6. Defendant Ikeda Family Partnership's Motion *In Limine* Re: Listing Agreement

The motion is **denied**.

7. Defendant Ikeda Family Partnership's Motion *In Limine* Re: Use of Appraisal

The motion is **granted**.

8. Defendant Ikeda Family Partnership's Motion *In Limine* Re: Tax Appeal Records

The motion is **granted**.

12:04 p.m. Matter concludes.